	Case 1:20-cv-01288-NODJ-SKO Docume	ent 110	Filed 01/03/24	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
3					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	DIONTAE JOHAN DUNCAN,	Case	e No. 1:20-cv-012	88-NODJ-SKO (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY		
13	v.	FOI	R DEFENDANTS	LD NOT BE IMPOSED S' FAILURE TO FILE	
14	CALIFORNIA HEALTHCARE		AN OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION		
15	RECEIVERSHIP CORP., et al.,				
16	Defendants.				
17	Plaintiff Diontae Johan Duncan is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil				
18	rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.				
19	On December 6, 2023, Plaintiff filed a document titled "Motion-Regarding an error done				
20	by the court. Regarding the identification of two defendants and the waiver of service of these				
21	two defendants." (Doc. 109.)				
22	The Local Rules, corresponding with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, provide,				
23	"[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may				
24	be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule				
25	or within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. Pursuant to Local Rule 230(l), any				
26	opposition "to the granting of [a] motion shall be served and filed by the responding party not				
27	more than twenty-one (21) days after the date of service of the motion. A responding party who				
28	has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect"				

Because Plaintiff filed and served his motion on December 6, 2023, any opposition or statement of non-opposition by Defendants was due to be filed no later than December 27, 2023. Although more than 21 days have passed, Defendants have failed to file either an opposition or statement of non-opposition to Plaintiff's motion. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** Defendants to show cause in writing, within 7 days of the date of service of this order, why sanctions should not be imposed for their failure to comply with the Local Rules. Alternatively, within that same time, Defendants may file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to Plaintiff's motion filed December 6, 2023. IT IS SO ORDERED. <u> /s/ Sheila K. Oberto</u> Dated: **January 3, 2024** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:20-cv-01288-NODJ-SKO Document 110 Filed 01/03/24 Page 2 of 2